



FIDUCEO has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Programme for Research and Innovation, under Grant Agreement no. 638822



Ensuring consistency in metrological approaches

Paul Green, Niels Bormann, Corinne Voces, Karin Kreher, Peter Thorne, Marine Desmons, Rhona Phipps, Richard Davy, Emma Woolliams



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 640276.



Differences between GAIA-CLIM and FIDUCEO

- Chains and Measurement Equation focus
 - FIDUCEO for FCDRs: Similar forms, single step
 - GAIA-CLIM: Very different types of measurements, multistep process, no single step, single equation
 - FIDUCEO for CDRs



Measurement Eq. Tree Traceability model diagrams



Formal Equation and other steps

- With a step in the processing chain with an equation
 - Similar approaches – back to effects, measurement equation trees
- Decision making (e.g. cloud screening)
 - Very different uncertainty analysis (statistical approaches of false positive / negatives)
- Optimal estimation / retrieval
 - Not linearly propagated



Effects tables

Information / data	Type / value / equation	Notes / description
Name of effect	Vaisala CAL4 facility calibration	
Contribution identifier	2	
Measurement equation parameter(s) subject to effect	Temperature product	
Contribution subject to effect	Temperature product	
Element correlation form	none	
Time correlation form	none	
Units element correlation	K	
Units time correlation	K	
Scales element correlation	1	
Scales time correlation	1	
Uncertainty PDF shape	Normal	
Uncertainty	±0.15	
Uncertainty units	K	
Sensitivity coefficient	1	
Correlation(s) between affected parameters	None	
Cross-contribution correlation(s)	None	
Element/step common for all sites/users?	Yes	
Traceable to ...	2a - reference T sensor	
Validation	Inter-comparison studies.	

Beneficial to users

Get key numbers /
information in common
format in single location

Not searching through
papers

Value / Expression		Notes
Name of effect		
Affected term in measurement function		
Channels / bands		
Correlation type and form	within scanline [pixels]	
	from scanline to scanline [scanlines]	
	between images/orbits [orbits]	
	Across time [appropriate time units e.g. days, months, years]	
	between channels / bands	
Correlation scale	within scanline [pixels]	
	from scanline to scanline [scanlines]	
	between images/orbits [orbits]	
	Across time	
	between channels / bands	
Uncertainty PDF shape		
Uncertainty units		
Uncertainty magnitude		
Sensitivity coefficient		



Challenges on acceptance of metrological rigour

- Some resistance to doing this depth of study
- People who understand instruments need to do study – but can be difficult, different from what they are used to
- Everyone thinks it is important, but few want to do it
- Case study: “this was hard, but it was worth it”
- Need for capacity building and expertise in environmental metrology
- Mechanisms to formalise involvement of metrologists in these programmes and funding to support this type of activity by instrument and process scientists



Metrological understanding of instruments

- Is there a need of a FIDUCEO-scale effort in rigorous metrological characterisation of instruments (processes, uncertainties, correlations) and their raw products for the GAIA-CLIM instruments? (Smaller WP in GAIA-CLIM; some instruments done at a deeper level)
 - Future FIDU-CLIM project 😊
- If Europe is going to realise the value of its investments in these ground-based instrument infrastructures, there needs to be a FIDUCEO-style sustained and detailed understanding of those instruments so we can make best use of them



Comparison to validate uncertainties

- There is no simple comparison between relatively raw products from the two types of sensor. Any comparison would need radiative transfer and co-location analysis.
- So we also need appropriate metrological rigour on spectroscopy and RT modelling
- Closest we'd get is radiosonde converted to TOA radiance
 - Instantaneous
 - Averages over space and time
- Also with NWP as intermediate transfer standard and to validate each individually



Additional work to fund

- Improved metrological rigour on spectroscopy / radiative transfer
- Minimise colocation uncertainties
 - E.g. intentional mission through [Suomi-NPP](#) at Southern Great Plains Site of radiosondes that are before / after overpass. Our projects not using this information now, but could use methodologies on those
- Vocabulary – check we agree, joint publication – present to external agencies
- FIDU-CLIM – expanding GAIA-CLIM WP2 into something big enough to realise the full value of European in situ infrastructure

