Björn-Martin Sinnhuber (KIT)

on behalf of

Heather Lawrence (ECMWF)

Julia Marshall and Dhanyalekshmi Pillai (MPI Jena)

- Hannele Korhonen (FMI)
- Stefanie Falk (KIT)
- Jenny Stavrakou and Jean-Francois Muller (BIRA)







ILMATIETEEN LAITOS METEOROLOGISKA INSTITUTET FINNISH METEOROLOGICAL INSTITUTE



Koninklijk Nederlands Meteorologisch Instituut Ministerie van Verkeer en Waterstaat











This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 640276.

- Partners represent expertise in and will provide data from a wide range of atmospheric modelling: chemistry-climate modelling, air-quality modelling, aerosol modelling, greenhouse-gas modelling
- What do these modelling studies tell us about gaps in surfacebased and sub-orbital observing capabilities?
- Are they telling us where additional measurements would add most interpretative value to satellite dataset characterization?
- Are they quantifying the tradeoffs between coverage, scheduling and quality?
- For the purposes of satellite dataset uncertainty characterization, are there instances where gaps in in-situ and ground-based

observations can be filled by model-based fields?













ILMATIETEEN LAITOS Meteorologiska institutet Finnish meteorological institute



Koninklijk Nederlands Meteorologisch Instituut Ministerie van Verkeer en Waterstaat

Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry





- Timeline:
 - Define model scenarios/simulations

Deliverable report D1.2 submitted October 2015

- Report on model based assessment of gaps: *Initial results: Report D1.5 submitted June 2016 Final Report D1.10 due in Month 34 (aim: Summer 2017)*
- To be addressed:
 - Strenthening connection to GAID and other WPs:
 Making sure that Task 1.5 is well connected to GAID and gaps addressed in other WPs





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 640276.

Sub-sampling O – B statistics at GRUAN sites

Background:

•NWP background fields for temperature and humidity are very useful for performing satellite cal/val (work package 4)

•GRUAN radiosonde data can provide a reference to validate the NWP fields (work package 4)

•However are GRUAN sites in the right locations to help assess the sources of bias in O – B statistics for satellite temperature & humidity data?

Method:

1.Review different types of bias seen in Observation minus ECMWF Background (O – B) for key instruments (AMSU-A, MHS): global biases, geographical biases, inter-satellite biases

2.Sub-sample O – B statistics at GRUAN sites to assess geographical representativeness: can we recover global biases, geographical biases, etc for a small number of sites?





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 640276.



AMSU-A: seasonally-dependent geographical biases for channels 7 - 10

e.g. MetOp-B AMSU-A Channel 9: Mean(O – B) minus global average



- Possible explanations for this type of bias: radiative transfer errors (pass-band shift, line modelling), instrument non-linearity corrections...
- Is some of the bias also due to seasonally-varying biases in the NWP background?
 GRUAN reference data could help assess this





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 640276.



AMSU-A: seasonally-dependent geographical biases for channels 7 - 10



GRUAN sites in Europe could be used to assess if there are seasonally-varying NWP model biases in Northern Hemisphere

However sites in the Tropics and Southern Hemisphere would also be needed, especially to capture large-scale geographical biases...

e.g. GRUAN expansion to sites in: Antarctica, New Zealand, Australia, La Reunion...

Future work subsampling O – B statistics to see if they capture:

- Global and inter-satellite biases for AMSU-A
 - Global and inter-channel biases for MHS (geographical biases are dominated by cloud)



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 640276.



Representativeness of TCCON GHG measurements







This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 640276.

www.gaia-clim.eu

Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry



Gaps in the aerosol observation system

Background:

•Satellite observations are not intended to provide information of the diurnal variations of AOD

•However, AERONET measurements show that such variations exist at many locations

<u>Aim:</u>

•Use aerosol-climate model ECHAM-HAM fill the gaps regarding diurnal variation in AOD.

<u>Method:</u>

•First, compare the diurnal AOD cycles simulated by ECHAM-HAM with data from 108 AERONET stations





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 640276.

www.gaia-clim.eu



AATIETEEN LAITOS TEOROLOGISKA INSTITØTET INISH METEOROLOGICAL INSTITUTE

Gaps in the aerosol observation system

Control runs with standard ECHAM emissions:
at some locations (e.g. Fort-McMurry, top plot) ECHAM captures the diurnal cycle relatively well
at most locations (e.g. Brussels, middle plot) the model performance is poor

Control runs assumed constant anthropogenic emissions throughout the day (bottom plot).

Test simulations with anthropogenic emissions peaking
at noon or during traffic rush hours did not improve the modelled diurnal cycle.

poor model performance likely due to BL dynamics, missing emissions etc (out of scope of GAIA-CLIM)
this research line discontinued in July

Next, look at spatial correlation lengths of AOD in satellite and model data.





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 640276.

 $\mathbf{0}$



Hour of day

www.gaia-ćlim.eu

ETEOROLOGISKA INSTITUTET NNISH METEOROLOGICAL INSTITUTE

Representativeness and attribution of tropical ozone column changes Tropics $[-20^\circ, 20^\circ]$



No 640276.

innovation programme under grant agreement

Karlsruhe Institute of Technolog

<Total Ozone $> = (246 \pm 2)$ DU

Representativeness and attribution of tropical ozone column changes

- Tropical ozone columns affected by
 - Recovery from decreasing halogens
 - Changes in Brewer-Dobson circulation
 - Changes in tropospheric ozone
- Existing stations representative for tropical stratospheric ozone changes, less so for tropical wide tropospheric ozone changes

Next steps:

- Quantify these findings
- Idea: Use combination with HCl column measurements to separate changes due to halogen recovery, changes in transport and tropospheric ozone





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 640276.



Carbon monoxide sources and sinks



- Vertical profile information for CO abundance available from only few NDACC sites
- Vertical profile information also available from satellite sensors but limited verification makes their use uncertain

IS THIS GAP CRITICAL FOR ASSESSING THE SOURCES & SINKS OF CARBON MONOXIDE?



OBJECTIVE: ASSESS ADDED VALUE OF VERTICAL PROFILES FOR CONSTRAINING THE BUDGET OF CO BY INVERSE MODELLING OF SATELLITE DATA

- Use satellite CO data + FTIR station data
- Evaluate against in situ NOAA/GMD CO measurements
- Explore potential benefit of additional stations







FTIR and GMD stations with available measurements in 2013



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 640276.

Carbon monoxide sources and sinks: Current progress

- <u>Built the observation operator in the IMAGES CTM (satellite/FTIR/GMD)</u>
- <u>Used the OH distribution</u> provided by the climatology from Spivakovsky et al. (2000) and scale it separately at each hemisphere according to Patra et al. (2014) : this adjustment leads to lower [OH] in the Northern Hemisphere and a good agreement between the model and GMD observations



Carbon monoxide sources and sinks: Current progress

• <u>Designed an inversion system constrained by IASI CO observations</u>

profile

 $\mathbf{0}$

 <u>Defined sensitivity inversions</u> accounting for the uncertainties in the [OH] in both hemispheres → provide an ensemble of CO fields minimizing the mismatch between the model and the observations within the given uncertainties



This project has received funding from the

European Union's Horizon 2020 research and

Carbon monoxide sources and sinks: Work plan for 2017

- Improvements in the inversion design
- Analysis of the inversion results focusing on their performance against vertical CO profiles at NDACC FTIR sites and in situ observations
- Sensitivity studies exploring the effects of alternative a priori emissions, vertical mixing parameterizations
- Determination of the added value represented by vertical CO profiles at FTIR stations
- Provide recommendation regarding the CO vertical profile gap



- Timeline:
 - Define model scenarios/simulations

Deliverable report D1.2 submitted October 2015

- Report on model based assessment of gaps: *Initial results: Report D1.5 submitted June 2016 Final Report D1.10 due in Month 34 (aim: Summer 2017)*
- To be addressed:
 - Strenthening connection to GAID and other WPs:
 Making sure that Task 1.5 is well connected to GAID and gaps addressed in other WPs





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 640276.